IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE KURIAN JOSEPH

FRIDAY, THE 7TH DECEMBER 2007 / 16TH AGRAHAYANA 1929

WP(C).No. 28019 of 2004(I)

PETITIONER:

---

1. PRAVEENDAS K., S/O. KITTU,
SREELAYAM, KONGHANCHATHI HOUSE,
NENENI POST, KOLLENGODE, PALAKKAD.

2. PRABINDAS K.K. S/O. KITTU, DO. DO.

BY ADV. SRI.SAJAN VARGHEESE K.
SRI.LIJU. M.P

RESPONDENTS:

---

1. IBP CO.LTD., A2, 'CHAKOS CHAMBERS',
3RD FLOOR 40/2353, MKK NAIR ROAD,
PALARIVATTOM, KOCHI-25, REP.BY SRI.DIV.MANAGER.

2. SARABANAN, SATYA NIVAS, VADAMANNUR,
PALAKKAD DISTRICT.

3. PRASANTH S/O. RAJAPPAN,
'PRASANTH' BANGLA PARAMBU,
TATHAMANGALAM DISTRICT, PALAKKAD.

BY ADV. SRI.P.GOPAKUMARAN NAIR
SRI.V.CHITAMBARESH
SRI.T.C.SURESH MENON
SRI.P.V.ANILKUMAR
SMT.SUMITHRADEVI.M.R.
SRI.JYOTHILAL

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD ON 07/12/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

A P P E N D I X

PETITIONER'S EXHIBITS :

EXT.P1 : TRUE COPY OF THE DEGREE CERTIFICATE DT. 1.7.2002.

EXT.P2 : TRUE COPY OF THE DEGREE CERTIFICATE DT. 17.5.2004.

EXT.P3 : TRUE COPY OF THE CASTE CERTIFICATE DT. 12.3.2004.

EXT.P4 : TRUE COPY OF THE CAST CERTIFICATE DT.12.3.2004 ISSUED TO THE PETITIONER NO.2.

EXT.P5 : TRUE COPY OF THE NOTIFICATION PUBLISHED IN MALAYALA MANORAMA DT. 16.2.2004.

EXT.P6 TRUE COPY OF THE ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS(2 NOS.)

EXT.P7 TRUE COPY OF THE CALL LETTER DT. 30.7.2004.

EXT.P8 TRUE COPY OF THE CALL LETTER DT. 30.7.2004.

EXT.P9 TRUE COPY OF THE BANK CERTIFICATE DT. 20.7.2004.

EXT.P10 TRUE COPY OF THE BANK CERTIFICATE DT. 20.7.2004.

RESPONDENTS' EXHIBITS :

EXT.R2A TRUE COPY OF THE CASTE CERTIFICATE DT. 12.3.2004.

EXT.R2B TRUE COPY OF THE RESIDENCE CERTIFICATE DT. 24.8.2004.

EXT.R2C TRUE COPY OF THE LETTER ISSUED BY THE STATE BANK OF INDIA DT. NIL.

EXT.R2D TRUE COPY OF THE CALL LETTER DT. 30.7.2004.

EXT.R2E TRUE COPY OF THE COVERING LETTER ISSUED BY THE FIRST RESPONDENT DT. 9.9.2004.

KURIAN JOSEPH J.

Writ Petition (Civil) No.28019 of 2004

Dated 7th December, 2007.

J U D G M E N T

Petitioners approached this court aggrieved by the selection of the second respondent for Retail Outlet Dealership in Petroleum products. Learned counsel for the second respondent submits that he has already been appointed and has been functioning the outlet for the last more than two years. In the above circumstances, the writ petition is disposed of making it clear that in case the petitioners have still any grievance left, it will be open to them to approach the first respondent.

KURIAN JOSEPH, JUDGE.

tgs